

M2.01 – NXP "El Mandadero"

Christopher Cameron, Caitlyn Landers, Kaitlyn Ramirez, Taylor Sekula, Justin Vaughn Sponsor: Iain Galloway – NXP Semiconductors Instructor: Mark Summers



Initial Problem

Manufactur

Cut pan

Bend up

Tig weld

Cut axle

3D print

Gasket

7. Pour Po

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6.

"El Mandadero" was designed by a previous senior design team with the assistance of NXP. Our goal was to improve upon this design by creating a more efficient suspension system and increased stability confirmed with test data.



Manufacturing Changes

Past Design

- Aluminum
- Bolt fasten (for torsion Box)
- 40 polyurethane shore hardness
- No metal texture finishes

New Design

TIG welds (for torsion Box)

Stainless steel

- DOE testing to determine polyurethane spring constant
- DOE testing to determine metal finish

| Manufacturi | ng Process | | |
|---|------------|----------------------|-------------|
| ing Process: els from sheet metal per torsion bracket I torsion box together s the jig for pouring process and hot glue to seal the jig lyurethane | inted Jigs | B-inch steel tube | Torsion Box |
| | | \rightarrow | |
| | | | |

Compressive Test

A compressive force was applied to the base of the axle until failure occurred between it and polyurethane spring. The goal of this test was to identify the most effective finish applied to the square tubing and whether the performance difference was substantial enough to add to the manufacturing process.

Torsional Test

The 3 different shore hardness of 60A, 70A, and 80A would undergo a torsional force that would incrementally increase by 10 ft/lbs. the resulting angle was recorded after each cycle. The goal for this test was to calculate the spring constant for each and determine the most efficient in terms of stiffness, fatigue, and deformation.

Compression Testing Analysis



| Test | Square Tubing Fin | ish Shore Ha | rdness Total C | ompressive Load | Rea | ached Failure |
|------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------------|
| 1 | Clean | 60/ | A | 519.73 lb | | No |
| 2 | Sand Blasted | 60/ | A | 519.78 lb | | No |
| 3 | Grinded | 60/ | A | 519.57 lb | | No |
| | | | | | | |
| Test | Square Tubing Finish | Shore Hardness | Total Displacemen | t Total Compressive | e Load | Reached Failure |

| Test | | Square Tubing Finish | Shore Hardness | Total Displacement | Total Compressive Load | Reached Fail |
|------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 1 | Clean | 60A | 0.732 in | 1194.624 lbs. | Yes |
| | 2 | Sand Blasted | 60A • | 0.709 in | 1157.088 lbs | Yes |
| | 3 | Grinded | 60A | 0.564 in | 920.488lbs | Yes |
| | | | | | | |

Torsion Testing Analysis

