## URBAN POPULATION PATTERNS IN TEXAS: USING CENSUS DATA 2010

### Purpose

- To locate selected towns established in various physical regions of Texas
- To identify the primary highways linking urban populations in Texas today
- To describe the urban population patterns in Texas by comparing 12 large population centers
- To draw conclusions about developing population patterns in Texas today

### **TEKS Standards**

### Grade 4 Social Studies

(6) Geography. The student uses geographic tools to collect, analyze, and interpret data. The student is expected to (B) translate geographic data, population distribution, and natural resources into a variety of formats such as graphs and maps.

(8) Geography. The student understands the location and patterns of settlement and the geographic factors that influence where people live. The student is expected to (B) describe and explain the location and distribution of various towns and cities in Texas, past and present; and (C) explain the geographic factors such as landforms and climate that influence patterns of settlement and the distribution of population in Texas, past and present.

### Grade 7 Social Studies

(8) Geography. The student uses geographic tools to collect, analyze, and interpret data. The student is expected to (A) create and interpret thematic maps, graphs, charts, models, and databases representing various aspects of Texas during the 19th, 20th, and 21st centuries; and (B) analyze and interpret geographic distributions and patterns in Texas during the 19th, 20th, and 21st centuries.

(9) Geography. The student understands the location and characteristics of places and regions of Texas. The student is expected to (A) locate the Mountains and Basins, Great Plains, North Central Plains, and Coastal Plains regions and places of importance in Texas during the 19th, 20th, and 21st centuries such as major cities, rivers, natural and historic landmarks, political and cultural regions, and local points of interest;(B) compare places and regions of Texas in terms of physical and human characteristics. (11) Geography. The student understands the characteristics, distribution, and migration of population in Texas in the 19th, 20th, and 21st centuries. The student is expected to (C) analyze the effects of the changing population distribution and growth in Texas during the 20th and 21st centuries and the additional need for education, health care, and transportation

### Grade Levels: 4<sup>th</sup> - 7<sup>th</sup>

### Class Time: 2 class periods

**Materials:** Giant Traveling Map of Texas, resource sheet: census data 2010, student recording sheets, Department of Transportation Highway Map, chains, vinyl spots

## Instructional Background

Large cities in Texas are located in different regions of the state and are connected by a network of Interstate highways, US highways, and state highways. Locating the selected cities and looking for

patterns in the arrangement of these cities on the landscape engages students in critical thinking supported by data and communicated in both visual and written modes.

## Student Learning Activity/Assessment

Population Patterns of the 12 largest cities/towns in Texas according to the 2010 Census.

- Use a Texas Department of Transportation map to locate major interstate highways in Texas (IH-10, IH-20, IH-30, IH-35, IH-40, and IH-45). Use rope or chains from the resource materials to mark the locations.
- 2. Each group of students will focus attention on a particular set of major Texas cities and record data about the physical location. See resource information *Texas Cities \_ Population 2010* to collect data on the following cities and record it on the graph *Data Recording Sheet #1 "Characteristics of Locations of Cities."* Each small group of students may focus on one set of cities and then share information with the total group to complete the chart.
- 3. When finished, groups will compare information with one another. They will find out what other cities share common characteristics with their own. Are there any patterns in the arrangement of the cities by location or by size? How does the arrangement of cities influence the decisions for building roads, establishing new schools, or providing human services across the state of Texas?
- 4. Next, students will locate the 2010 Census population data for each of the cities using the *Resource: Texas Cities \_ Population Chart.* They will record the information from the table to the graph on *Data Recording Sheet #2: Using Charts and Graphs to Display Information*.
- Finally, each group will propose a pattern they have found among the cities (i.e. the cities have less population the further west they are), citing evidence and receiving feedback from peers. Student Pattern Analysis Sheet #3 – Writing paragraph to communicate thinking and Student Feedback Sheet #4 - Discussing points of view and drawing conclusions from evidence.

# Resource

Information: Texas Cities \_Population 2010



Houston



San Antonio



Dallas



Austin



Fort Worth



El Paso



Arlington



Corpus Christi

| Houston   | San Antonio    | Dallas  |
|-----------|----------------|---------|
| Austin    | Fort Worth     | El Paso |
| Arlington | Corpus Christi | Plano   |
| Laredo    | Lubbock        | Garland |

#### **Texas Cities Population 2010**

The following is the list of Texas' most populous incorporated cities, towns, and unincorporated Census Designated Places (CDPs). The population is according to the 2014 census estimates.<sup>[1]</sup> San Marcos was the fastest growing city in the state between 2010 and 2014.q

| Rank | Place name                         | 2014 Estimate | 2010 Census | Change |
|------|------------------------------------|---------------|-------------|--------|
| 1    | Houston <sup>[2]</sup>             | 2,239,558     | 2,100,263   | 6.63%  |
| 2    | San Antonio                        | 1,436,697     | 1,327,407   | 8.23%  |
| 3    | Dallas                             | 1,281,047     | 1,197,816   | 6.95%  |
| 4    | Austin                             | 912,791       | 790,390     | 15.49% |
| 5    | Fort Worth                         | 812,238       | 741,206     | 9.58%  |
| 6    | El Paso                            | 679,036       | 649,121     | 4.61%  |
| 7    | Arlington                          | 383,204       | 365,438     | 4.86%  |
| 8    | Corpus Christi                     | 320,434       | 305,215     | 4.99%  |
| 9    | Plano                              | 278,480       | 259,841     | 7.17%  |
| 10   | Laredo                             | 252,309       | 236,091     | 6.87%  |
| 11   | Lubbock                            | 243,839       | 229,573     | 6.21%  |
| 12   | Garland                            | 235,501       | 226,876     | 3.80%  |
| 13   | Irving                             | 232,406       | 216,290     | 7.45%  |
| 14   | Amarillo                           | 197,254       | 190,695     | 3.44%  |
| 15   | Grand Prairie                      | 185,453       | 175,396     | 5.73%  |
| 16   | Brownsville                        | 183,046       | 175,023     | 4.58%  |
| 17   | McKinney                           | 156,767       | 131,117     | 19.56% |
| 18   | Pasadena                           | 153,887       | 149,043     | 3.25%  |
| 19   | Frisco                             | 145,035       | 116,989     | 23.97% |
| 20   | Mesquite                           | 144,416       | 139,824     | 3.28%  |
| 21   | McAllen                            | 140,717       | 130,242     | 10.80% |
| 22   | Killeen                            | 138,154       | 127,921     | 8.00%  |
| 23   | Waco                               | 130,194       | 124,805     | 4.32%  |
| 24   | Carrollton                         | 128,353       | 119,097     | 7.77%  |
| 25   | Denton                             | 128,205       | 113,383     | 13.07% |
| 26   | Midland                            | 128,037       | 111,147     | 15.20% |
| 27   | Abilene                            | 120,958       | 117,063     | 3.33%  |
| 28   | Beaumont                           | 117,585       | 118,296     | -0.60% |
| 29   | Odessa                             | 114,597       | 99,940      | 14.67% |
| 30   | Round Rock                         | 112,744       | 99,887      | 12.87% |
| 31   | The Woodlands (CDP) <sup>[3]</sup> | 107,769       | 93,847      | 14.83% |
| 32   | Richardson                         | 108,617       | 99,223      | 9.47%  |
| 33   | Wichita Falls                      | 105,114       | 104,553     | 0.54%  |
| 37   | College Station                    | 103,483       | 93,857      | 10.26% |
| 36   | Pearland                           | 103,441       | 91,252      | 13.36% |
| 34   | Lewisville                         | 102,889       | 95,290      | 7.97%  |
| 35   | Tyler                              | 101,421       | 96,500      | 4.67%  |

| 38 | San Angelo           | 98,975 | 93,200 | 6.19%  |
|----|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 39 | League City          | 94,403 | 83,560 | 8.88%  |
| 40 | Allen                | 94,179 | 84,246 | 11.79% |
| 41 | Sugar Land           | 86,777 | 78,817 | 10.10% |
| 42 | Edinburg             | 83,014 | 77,100 | 7.67%  |
| 43 | Mission              | 82,431 | 77,058 | 6.97%  |
| 44 | Longview             | 81,593 | 80,455 | 1.41%  |
| 45 | Bryan                | 80,913 | 76,201 | 6.18%  |
| 46 | Baytown              | 76,127 | 71,802 | 6.02%  |
| 47 | Pharr                | 75,382 | 70,400 | 7.72%  |
| 48 | Temple               | 70,765 | 66,102 | 7.05%  |
| 49 | Missouri City        | 71,710 | 67,358 | 6.46%  |
| 50 | Flower Mound         | 69,650 | 64,669 | 7.70%  |
| 51 | North Richland Hills | 68,529 | 63,343 | 8.19%  |
| 52 | New Braunfels        | 66,394 | 57,740 | 14.99% |
| 53 | Victoria             | 66,094 | 62,592 | 5.59%  |
| 54 | Atascocita (CDP)     | 65,844 | 65,844 | 0.00%  |
| 55 | Harlingen            | 65,914 | 64,849 | 1.64%  |
| 56 | Conroe               | 65,871 | 56,207 | 17.19% |
| 57 | Cedar Park           | 63,574 | 48,937 | 29.91% |
| 58 | Mansfield            | 62,246 | 56,368 | 10.43% |
| 59 | Georgetown           | 59,102 | 47,400 | 24.69% |
| 60 | San Marcos           | 58,892 | 44,894 | 31.18% |
| 61 | Rowlett              | 58,407 | 56,199 | 3.93%  |
| 62 | Pflugerville         | 54,644 | 46,936 | 16.42% |
| 63 | Port Arthur          | 54,548 | 53,818 | 1.36%  |
| 64 | Spring (CDP)         | 54,298 | 54,298 | 0.00%  |
| 65 | Euless               | 53,224 | 51,277 | 3.80%  |
| 66 | DeSoto               | 51,934 | 49,047 | 5.89%  |
| 67 | Grapevine            | 50,844 | 46,334 | 9.73%  |

# Name:\_\_\_\_\_

| City                  | Region                | ta from maps<br>Nearby<br>Bivors (Bodios of | Nearby interstate | Other nearby          |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------|
|                       |                       | Rivers/Bodies of<br>Water                   | highways          | population<br>centers |
| Set A: Corpus Chris   | ti, Houston, San Anto |   |                   | centers               |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
| Set B: Austin, Garla  | ind, Dallas           |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
| Set C: Arlington, Pla | ano, Fort Worth       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
| Set D: Lubbock, Lar   | edo, El Paso          |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |
|                       |                       |   |                   |                       |

### Data Recording Sheet #1 – Collecting data from maps

|                                 | Population of Selected Texas Cities 2010   |
|---------------------------------|--|
| 3000                            |  |
| 2500                            |  |
| s 2000                          |  |
| s 2000<br>000<br>1 1500<br>1000 |  |
| d 1000                          |  |
| 500                             |  |
| 0                               | Corpus Christi, Houston, Austin, Garland, Dallas Arlington, Plano, Ft. Lubbock, El Paso, Laredo<br>San Antonio Worth |
|                                 | Cities   |

Data Recording Sheet #2 – Using Charts and Graphs to display information

### Student Pattern Analysis Sheet #3

- a) One spatial pattern about the cities that I see is \_\_\_\_\_\_
- b) Three pieces of evidence that support the big idea of this pattern are listed here:
  - 1)
  - 2)
  - 3)

c) A second spatial pattern about the cities that I see is \_\_\_\_\_

- d) Three pieces of evidence that support the big idea of this pattern are listed here:
  - 1)
  - 2)
  - 3)

#### Student Feedback Sheet #4

- a) One easily understood pattern is explained by group # \_\_\_\_\_. The pattern is \_\_\_\_\_. Good evidence is
- b) A pattern that shows creative thinking was explained by group #\_\_\_\_. The pattern is \_\_\_\_\_\_.
  Good evidence is \_\_\_\_\_\_
- c) A pattern that I need to consider further was offered by group #\_\_\_\_. The pattern is \_\_\_\_\_.
   Additional evidence I need is \_\_\_\_.