

Research Enhancement Program
Proposal Evaluation Form
College of Fine Arts and Communication

Principal Investigator _____

Proposal Title _____

Format Guidelines: Accept _____ Reject _____

I. INTRODUCTION (10 points)

a. Literature review/background information _____ (5)

Presents a thoughtful argument that includes a thorough review of literature or background information, including adequate scholarly citations or other credible external evidence, and clearly communicates the need for the project and/or the statement of the problem.

b. Thesis statement/goals of project _____ (5)

The goals/thesis are clearly stated and supported by the literature review.

II. METHODOLOGY (25 points)

a. Research design, experimental protocols/creativity in methods, preparation, practice, rehearsal, logistics.

Clearly written, concise, and explains how the methodology will achieve the stated goals or research questions of the study, exhibition, or performance.

b. Data collection, networking, interviews, consultations.

Clearly describes data collection methods and feasibility (if applicable).

c. Data analysis, performance(s), exhibition(s), publication(s).

Clearly explains how the data will be analyzed (if applicable), what expected publishing/exhibitions/performances to be expected as a result of the study/project.

III. QUALITY OF PROPOSED PROJECT (55 points)

a. Creativity/originality _____ (10)

Research/creative inquiry represents novel concepts, methods, etc.

b. Importance to field _____ (10)

The writing clearly contextualizes the project within the field and explains the impact of the research on the field.

c. Qualifications of investigator(s) _____ (10)

The writing explains the role(s) of the investigator(s) and how they are qualified to execute the work.

d. Presentation/organization of ideas _____ (10)

The application is written in a manner that is interpretable to people outside the field; avoids jargon.

e. Professionalism _____ (10)

The application is well written, free of typos/errors, has proper formatting, etc.

f. Access to resources _____ (5)

The application clearly defines which resources will be needed and investigator's ability to access those resources.

IV. BUDGET (10 points)

a. Detail _____ (5)

The described budget is detailed, thoughtful, and designed to achieve study aims.

b. Justification _____ (5)

The description is adequate and appropriate to requested personnel, equipment, travel, or other needs.

TOTAL SCORE _____

COMMENTS: