

Alumni Travel Support Fund (Rubric)

Criterion	Description	Score (0-5)
1. Research Impact for the Awardee	<i>How likely is it that the travel will contribute to the awardee's professional and research goals?</i>	
	5 points: The travel will directly contribute to the awardee's professional development through their leadership as the first author of the presentation and significantly advance their research, leading to high-level achievements.	
	4 points: The travel will support the awardee's professional development and research goals, leading to meaningful progress.	
	3 points: The travel has potential to support the awardee's professional development with moderate impact on research.	
	2 points: The travel offers limited benefit to the awardee's professional development, with minimal impact on research.	
	1 point: The travel is unlikely to help the awardee achieve professional or research goals.	
	0 points: The travel will not contribute to the awardee's professional or research goals.	
	2. Research Impact for the Faculty Member	<i>How likely is it that the travel will contribute to the nominating faculty member's research or teaching goals?</i>
5 points: The travel will directly enhance the faculty member's research or teaching, facilitating significant collaboration or presentation outcomes.		
4 points: The travel will provide meaningful support to the faculty member's research or teaching, contributing to publications or research efforts.		
3 points: The travel will support the faculty member's research or teaching in a limited but valuable way.		
2 points: The travel provides some support for the faculty member's research or teaching but with minimal impact.		
1 point: The travel will offer little or no support to the faculty member's research or teaching.		
0 points: The travel will not support the faculty member's research or teaching.		

Criterion	Description	Score (0-5)
3. Alignment with the Mission of HHP	<i>How well does the travel align with the mission of the Department of Health and Human Performance?</i>	
	5 points: The travel supports and directly advances the mission of HHP.	
	4 points: The travel aligns well with the mission of HHP.	
	3 points: The travel aligns somewhat with the mission of HHP but with a limited connection to the department's research focus.	
	2 points: The travel has only a marginal connection to the mission of HHP.	
	1 point: The travel does not align with the mission of HHP.	
	0 points: The travel is unrelated to the mission of HHP.	
4. Potential to Promote the University's Profile	<i>How likely is it that the travel will help raise the profile of Texas State University and HHP through the awardee's presentation or participation?</i>	
	5 points: The travel is likely to significantly showcase Texas State University and HHP at a prominent event, with substantial visibility for the university.	
	4 points: The travel has a high likelihood of enhancing the university's profile through the awardee's participation at a well-regarded event.	
	3 points: The travel will promote the university's profile in a moderate way, contributing to research exposure or academic networking.	
	2 points: The travel will contribute only minimally to promoting the university's profile.	
	1 point: The travel is unlikely to have any impact on promoting the university's profile.	
	0 points: The travel will not contribute to the university's visibility or profile.	

Criterion	Score
Research Impact for the Awardee	___/5
Research Impact for the Faculty Member	___/5
Alignment with the Mission of HHP	___/5
Potential to Promote the University's Profile	___/5
Total Score	___/20