Each committee member will assign a score to each proposal based on the following rubric.

Category

Interest/Anticipated Attendance (26.32%)

Point Break Down

Speaker Biography: The speaker		Adequate Speaker:	Cood Coordination	Strong Speaker:	Exceptional Speaker:	
is well suited to present on the	Missing Content / Not	Speaker is new to the	Good Speaker: Speaker is established	Speaker is respected in	Speaker is well respected	
topic and has National /	•	field, may not be well		the topic field and	in the topic field and	
International recognition	Enough Details to Determine	known, and/or most	within the topic field with limited national	recognized for national	recognized for multiple	
	Determine	accomplishments are	recognition	accomplishments (limited	international	
		local/regional	recognition	international recognition)	accomplishments	
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
t Logistics (26.32%)						
Event Planning: Details descibe		Low Potential: Vague	Moderate Potential:	Strong Potential:	Exceptional Potential:	
the specifics of event details and	Missing Content / Not	description of how the	Generaliazed description	Description of all event	High quality and thorough	
planning to ensure the feasibility	Enough Details to	event is being planned	of how the event is being	details being planned,	details provided to ensure	
of success.	Determine	and what will take place	planned and what will	agenda, and including	feasibility of event as	
		during	take place	scholarly activities	intended	
			before/during/after	before/during/after		
Established Contact with Speaker	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2 Moderate Potential:	SCORE: 3 Strong Potential:	SCORE: 4 Exceptaional Potential:	
Established Contact with Speaker	Missing Content / Not	Low Potential: Contact	Set an appointment to	Already contacted	Active communication	
	Enough Details to	details available, but not	meet with speaker, but	publicist, but not speaker	lines established with	
	Determine	yet contacted	not yet connected	directly	speaker	
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
Tentative Commitment by	500	Low Potential:				
Speaker	Missing Content / Not	Speaker has not yet	Moderate Potential:	Strong Potential:	Exceptional Potential:	
	Enough Details to	committed to the events,	Speaker has tentatively	Speaker has committed to	Speaker has <u>committed</u> to	
	Determine	but has expressed an	committed to the event	the event (dates pending)	the event (and set dates)	
		interest				
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
Marketing Plan: The organizers have crafted a multifacted	_	Low Potential:			Exceptional Potential:	
marketing plan to reach their	Missing Content / Not	Marketing plan has issues	Moderate Potential:	Strong Potential:	Multifaceted and detailed	
intended audience	Enough Details to	that may cause potential	Limited or single strategy	Generalized but broad	plan to offer marketing to	
	Determine	problems with plan	marketing plan	marketing plan	intended audience	
		feasibility			through multiple means	
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
Detailed Recruitment Plan: The					Exceptional Potential:	
organizers have considered a	Missing Contant / Nat	Law Datastial	Moderate Potential:	Strong Potential:	Explicit purposeful plan to	
purposeful approach to reach	Missing Content / Not	Low Potential:	Generalized plan that will	Purposeful plan to reach	reach intended audience	
their intended audience in a	Enough Details to	Generalized plan on a	reach a large group in a	intended audience in a	in an effective and timely	
timely manner to promote event	Determine	tight timeline	timely manner	timely manner	manner to faciliate	
participation					maximum participation	
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
boration/Budget (21.04%)						
Budget Justification: The		Law heat's and an	Madagata Local Const	Change Instiffered as	Exceptional Justification:	
justification for the budget is	Missing Content / Not	Low Justification: General justification	Moderate Justification: General justification	Strong Justification:	Detailed and clearly	
complete and appropriate for the	Enough Details to			Justification provided for	articulated budget	
event to lend toward a successful	Determine	provided, but missing		all needed funds to have a	justification to support all	
event		elements	funds	successful event	needed expenses	
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
Collaboration: The organizers		Low Collaboration:	Moderate Collaboration:	Strong Collaboration:	Exceptional	
have secured collaborations		Lecture-related activities	Lecture-related activities	Lecture-related activities	Collaboration:	
across university units/outside of	No Collaboration	shared within a single	shared across at least two	shared across at least two	Lecture-related activites	
TXST to support the event. (e.g.,		program / department /	programs / organizations	separate departments /	shared across at least two	
funding, nonmonitary activities such as, but not limited to: involvement of classes,		organization	(may be within same	organizations	colleges	
in kind services, marketing/recruitment,	CODE	5	department)		-	
plannina)	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
<u>Co-Sponsor(s):</u> The organizers have obtained outside of	No Co-Sponsors: No commitment beyond	Low Co-Sponsorship:	Moderate Co-	Strong Co-Sponsorship:	Exceptional Co- Sponsorship:	
	University Lecturers	One sponsor beyond ULF	Sponsorship:	Three sponsors beyond	Sponsorship: Four or more sponsors	
University Lecturers Funds	Funds (ULF) (0)	She sponsor beyond OLF	Two sponsors beyond ULF	ULF	beyond ULF	
	SCORE: 0	SCORE: 1	SCORE: 2	SCORE: 3	SCORE: 4	
	COONE. C	555ME. 1	555.1L. Z	555.12.5	Exceptional	
Committed Funding: Additional					Commitment:	
	Missing Content / Not	Low Commitment:	Moderate Commitment:	Strong Commitment:	communent.	
funds have been committed		Low Commitment: Shared funding covers 1-		Shared funding covers 31-	Shared funding covers	
funds have been committed outside of the ULFs to	Missing Content / Not	Shared funding covers 1-	Shared funding covers 16-	-	Shared funding covers	
<u>Committed Funding:</u> Additional funds have been committed outside of the ULFs to successfully host the event	Missing Content / Not Enough Details to			Shared funding covers 31-		
funds have been committed outside of the ULFs to	Missing Content / Not Enough Details to	Shared funding covers 1-	Shared funding covers 16-	Shared funding covers 31-	Shared funding covers <u>51% or more</u> of total	

Rubric score totals will be listed in ranked order. The committee will recommend as many proposals judged to merit funding as the budget allows, starting with the top-ranked proposal and progressing through the ranked list. Proposals must follow articulated guidelines on formatting and length as well as earn a minimum average of **70 points weighted score** per reviewer to be considered for funding.